

Angels marrying the daughters of men?

Part 1 of [Part 2](#) & [Part 3](#)

www.yahshuaservant.com

by Dan Baxley

What would you say if I, or someone else, were to tell you the Bible says “Angels” of the Living God married human women? First, before you answer, get the picture in your head, “Angels” of our Creator, beings made of spirit, not flesh, having sex with flesh and blood women. Taking as many as they choose for -- wives? Where would such a thought come from? From the Bible, they say, from God's own words.

Let's have a look at this doctrine and at the reason for this teaching. It is a twisting of the scriptures that is so blatant, so patently false it deserves to be set up as an example of Satan's influence over certain teachers, preachers, and false prophets of the past and of today. That's right I am saying those teaching this false doctrine are being duped themselves or are under the influence of Satan willingly, teaching doctrines of devils.

(1Tim 4:1) Now the Spirit speaks expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils;

This false teaching, concerning angels, is an earmark, for you, in helping to identify the wolves in sheep's clothing (Mat 7:15). Certainly, there may be one here and there not realizing their error, mistakenly identifying the whisper in their ear concerning this matter and then letting their imagination run away with them. Certainly, there may be some preachers of the Word that have fallen in with the wrong crowd and have been influenced indirectly. These men, hopefully, will wakeup, see their error, and repent of it, and apologize to those they have, by their own credentials, misled. In the meantime it is up to those of us seeing clearly to expose what needs to be exposed -- *"Have nothing to do with the fruitless deeds of darkness, but rather expose them" (Eph 5:11)*

There is always the hope those truly searching the truth will realize the error when they see it.

Lying Spirits

This is a doctrine that is being taught by the lying spirits of old and is being passed down to the Christian community the world over as fact. Christians are

not alone in this false teaching -- this teaching is also given support by Jewish interpreters of the Torah (Tit 1:13-14, 2 Pet 1:16). My friends, this is a teaching that leads to other errors and misjudgments in the written word. But, most of all, this false teaching essentially calls our Messiah a liar (*forgive me Yahshua*). Please read and consider -- not what I say or what someone else may say -- but listen to the Word, the written word, let it be your guide.

Let's look at it together and consider what is being said not what some "agent" of Satan (knowingly or unknowingly) wants it to say. First, let us go to the scripture used to promote this false teaching, this teaching of devils.

Genesis 6:1-4 And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them, That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. 3 And the LORD said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also is flesh: yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years. There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown.

Notice, these "sons of God" take wives, this suggests a formal process, not rape. In the ancient world taking a wife meant something, even as it does today. There are two important examples of the seriousness in regards to marriage and a man's wife. Marriage was take very seriously by the Ancients.

Genesis 12:10 And there was a famine in the land: and Abram went down into Egypt to sojourn there; for the famine was grievous in the land. 11 And it came to pass, when he was come near to enter into Egypt, that he said unto Sarai his wife, Behold now, I know that thou art a fair woman to look upon:

12 Therefore it shall come to pass, when the Egyptians shall see thee, that they shall say, This is his wife: and they will kill me, but they will save thee alive. 13 Say, I pray thee, thou art my sister: that it may be well with me for thy sake; and my soul shall live because of thee.

14 And it came to pass, that, when Abram was come into Egypt, the Egyptians beheld the woman that she was very fair. 15 The princes also of Pharaoh saw her, and commended her before Pharaoh: and the woman was taken into Pharaoh's house. 16 And he entreated Abram well for her sake: and he had sheep, and oxen, and he asses, and menservants, and maidservants, and she asses, and camels.

17 And the LORD (YHWH) plagued Pharaoh and his house with great plagues because of Sarai Abram's wife. 18 And Pharaoh called Abram, and said, What is this that thou hast done unto me?

Why didst thou not tell me that she was thy wife? 20 And Pharaoh commanded his men concerning him: and they sent him away, and his wife, and all that he had. KJV

Taking a wife is Serious Business

Notice, the man of “renown”, the King of Egypt, not an angel, was in the habit of taking wives on a whim. Remember, the Egyptian royalty were considered “gods” and to take a wife not of their own blood would be considered the taking of wives from among common man – those not of the god family. Also, however, these verses demonstrate the high value placed on marriage. Yes, Abraham understood the quick solution to dissolving a marriage would be to kill off the spouse freeing the survivor to marry another, and this had been Abraham’s big fear. The “god” King of Egypt would have him killed in order to gain another wife from among men – “commoners” (daughters of common men) outside of the “god” family. There is another example of the same seriousness marriage played among those of ancient times.

Genesis 20:1 And Abraham journeyed from thence toward the south country, and dwelled between Kadesh and Shur, and sojourned in Gerar. 2 And Abraham said of Sarah his wife, She is my sister: and Abimelech king of Gerar sent, and took Sarah.

Apparently the lie Abraham told concerning his relationship with Sarah while in Egypt worked so well he and Sarah followed the same line of deception again, all based on the fear Abraham, and apparently Sarah shared (read verse 5), of being killed in order to dissolve his marriage so that his wife could be claimed by another of higher status. And this is not the end of it. Some years after Sarah and Abraham were deceased their son, Isaac and his wife Rebekah, fell into the same lie. They feared the men of “renown”, these god Kings, knowing their penchant for acquiring wives from among the general population.

Genesis 26:7 And the men of the place asked him (Isaac, son of Abraham) of his wife; and he said, She is my sister: for he feared to say, She is my wife; lest, said he, the men of the place should kill me for Rebekah; because she was fair to look upon.

Here again we see how marriage is considered important, important enough to only be ended by the death of one of the parties – in this case, the husband. It seems divorce, forced or otherwise, was not an option. If someone of authority wanted another’s wife the solution would be simple enough – kill the husband. Abraham feared this when he entered the land of Egypt and while in the area of Gerar so did his son, Isaac, years later. One thing is consistent; it had been the habit of men of local “renown”, kings, even those claiming to be descendant from the gods to take for themselves wives from among other men, or common men,

those of lesser value, those not descendant from the gods or the race of people considered to be of the gods – foreign wives.

This is not the end of it. Reread the Genesis 6 account, notice that the same condition, or requirement these “*sons of god*” based the taking for themselves wives from among men – they saw “*that the daughter’s of men were beautiful*”. This is the same fear Abraham and Isaac held as they had wives of great beauty. Let’s look further into this teaching of treachery and deceit.

Genesis 6:1 And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them, 2 That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. 3 And the LORD said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also is flesh: yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years.4 There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown

The Sons of God

Why are they called “*sons of God*” if they are not angel? Or, are they “*angels*”? Aren’t angels sons of God? First, if you are a Christian, you above all, should understand this. Christians call themselves *children of God* and understand the Messiah, YaHshua as the Son of God and that He is called the “*first*” of many. If YaHshua is the “*first*” and this is not disputed, that He is literally the very Son of the Living God, and recognized as the FIRST of many, then those “*sons of God*” referred to in Genesis 6 cannot be “*literal*” sons, as would be assumed -- angels. Oh, but wait, don’t listen to me – let’s turn to the Word:

(1 Corinthians 15:20) But now is Christ risen from the dead, and become the first fruits of them that slept.

(1 Corinthians 15:23) But every man in his own order: Christ the first fruits; afterward they that are Christ's at his coming.

*(James 1:18) Of his own will **begat he us with the word of truth, that we should be a kind of first fruits of his creatures.***

*(Revelation 14:4) These are they which were not defiled with women; for they are virgins. These are they which follow the Lamb whithersoever he goes. These were redeemed from among men, **being the first fruits unto God and to the Lamb.***

Those “sons of God” in Genesis 6 cannot be “angels” or they would be the “first fruits” but they are not. Still, there is another proof that cannot be ignored and as a believer of the Word should be the final word. What does the Messiah have to say concerning angels?

(Matthew 22:30) For in the resurrection they (resurrected believers) neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven.

Did you get that? YaHshua’s words and He should know -- “...as the angels of God”. What is it about the angels? They do not marry! So, how is it the “sons of God” in Genesis are taking wives? If these are angels in rebellion they why do they bother with marriage? It has to be that these “sons” are not angels but humans that are either claiming the title as “sons” or are of the same type of order as true believers are today and would see themselves as sons, or children of God (Acts 17:29, 1 Th 5:5, Mat 13:38, Jn 12:36, Romans 8:16-17). They are, quite possibly, as pointed out previously, “god Kings”. Many of the ancient societies, including the Roman Empire, saw their leaders, their Kings, as gods or “sons of god” even though they were degenerate humans setting themselves apart from the general population by self imposed divine decree usually confirmed by the recognized religious leaders (priest or wizards) of the day.

Again, let us turn to the scriptures for this truth concerning the “sons of god”:

Matthew 5:9 Blessed are the peacemakers: for they shall be called the children of God. (Strong’s #5043- children – “child, daughter, son”)

Romans 8:14 For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God.

*Romans 8:19 For the earnest expectation of the creature waits for the manifestation (revealing) of the **sons of God**.*

*Galatians 3:26 For you are all the **children of God** by faith in Christ YaHshua.*

*Hebrews 4:14 Seeing then that we have a great high priest, that is passed into the heavens, **YaHshua the Son of God**, let us hold fast our profession. (Our profession -- YaHshua is the Son of God, the First Fruit of man)*

(1 John 4:15) Whosoever shall confess that YaHshua is the Son of God, God lives in him, and he in God.

(1 John 5:13) These things have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of God; that you may know that you have eternal life, and that you may believe on the name of the Son of God. (Remembering that His name has been removed in favor of a different name is of deep concern for the seeker of truth – the deception into believing the lie)

*(1 John 5:20) And we know that the **Son of God** is come, and hath given us an understanding, that we may know him that is true, and we are in him that is true, even in his Son YaHshua the Christ. This is the true God, and eternal life.*

(Hebrews 1:5) For unto which of the angels said he at any time, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee? And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son? (This verse should clinch it. Our Father in Heaven has never called any angel His son. This should also shut the mouths of those that would try and tell us YaHshua was once an angel, or that He was the angel Michael of the Old Testament)

Hebrews 1:13-14 But to which of the angels said he at any time, Sit on my right hand, until I make your enemies your footstool? Are they not all ministering spirits, sent forth to minister for them who shall be heirs of salvation?

Spirits we know as “angels” are ministering spirits and they are “sent forth” to what? That’s right, to “minister for them who shall be heirs of salvation”. Does that sound like the “sons of god” we read about in Genesis 6? I do not need to go into all of the twists and turns the false teachers go into in order to convince you angels had human wives and had sex with them. I should be able to stop right here and with your knowledge, as a believer, you should already know all of this and it is my hope to add to your ability to see through the sham of these false teachers – they even believe their own words and dreams, being deceived in their own minds and trying to convince you to see their false vision. Perhaps my writing will help in solidifying what you already know to be true. I hope so. No need to stop now, however, as we are on a roll.

It should be noted, at this point, that in order for a spirit to be called an “angel of god” and not a demon, or devil, it would have to be an orderly spirit, one in obedience to the Living God – to their Creator. Satan was once an angel, a mighty and beautiful angel, then he sinned, thinking to be equal to His Creator, even able to replace Him -- in this his form changed, likened unto a dragon (dinosaur, maybe - Rev 12:9; 20:2 - Dragon for sure). Still a spirit but an evil spirit, a demon, a devil, a destroyer – hence, rebellious angels are not called angels but demons, they have been transformed from “angels” (also known as

“messengers” of god) into evil beings, evil spirits and as such would not be called “sons of god” as we read in Genesis 6.

Yes, verse 9 does refer to “angels” along with Satan, but notice, they are *his*, Satan’s, angels. Angels of God, as we have already read, are “*ministering spirits*” for the elect of God. Satan’s *angels*, Satan’s *messengers*, would not fall into that category any longer -- Satan identified as a "devil" has his messengers, those rebel angels joining with him and also joining in his fall -- no longer called or deserving to be called, "*Sons of God*".

We have already covered the scriptures in clarifying the fact that the true “sons of God” are a type of *first fruits* following the Messiah into eternity, He being the first of the first. So, the “*sons of god*” found in Genesis 6 cannot be speaking of literal “sons”, like created angels.

Ah, but what about Job, in his account he calls the angels “*sons of god*”, doesn’t he? This is a proof text deceiver’s use, these false teachers, twisting the Word of the Living God. This is an easy one to dispute but it is used over and over again by the false teachers to confuse and misguide the blind. What does Job say?

*(Job 1:6) Now there was a day when the **sons of God** came to present themselves before the LORD (YHWH), and Satan came also among them.*

Okay, you are pretty smart, just what does this much quoted verse really say? That is correct, the “sons of god” are mentioned and it is understood that these are created spirits, or “angels” but then what does it say? “... and Satan came also among them”, do you see, Satan is not included as a member of the “sons of god”. It is only reasonable to assume that if Satan is mentioned **apart** from the “*sons of god*” then so would his demon spirits that followed him into the rebellion – Satan is not called a “son of God”. Not only that, but we have it in the “word”, demon angels are held in a place of darkness (Jude 6) and it would seem unlikely they would be allowed access to this meeting with the Creator. Why would Satan be allowed in? It seem that at the time of the writing of Job, Satan was not bound to this Earth, this prison, not until YaHshua came and closed access off to him. We see Satan cast to Earth after a battle with Michael and many angels with him. Our Messiah Himself states that He personally saw him, Satan, fall. When did this happen? Reading Revelation 12:9 and Luke 10:18 and putting two and two together we can say this event occurred while YaHshua stood with His disciples on this dusty Earth.

Job wrote of an account that took place in Heaven, a meeting of the angels with their Creator, and Satan appeared among them. Perhaps some of his demon angels with him, it does not say, but looking at Jude 6 we can safely say that these evil angels are limited in what they can and cannot do. After the Messiah defeated him in the flesh, Satan himself is denied access to the gathering of the angels before the thrown of our Father.

Let's take a deeper look at this term in Job, the "sons of God":

Strong's #1121 – sons – The definition is really long and can include many things and does not turn the "son's of god" of Genesis into literal "sons". But, in this definition it is never suggested to include anything of a rebellious nature. For those interested here is the full definition: bane - son

From [H1129](#); a son (as a builder of the family name), in the widest sense (of literal and figurative relationship, including grandson, subject, nation, quality or condition, etc., (like [H1](#), [H251](#), etc.): - + afflicted, age, [Ahoh-] [Ammon-] [Hachmon-] [Lev-]ite, [anoint-]jed one, appointed to, (+) arrow, [Assyr-] [Babylon-] [Egypt-] [Grec-]ian, one born, bough, branch, breed, + (young) bullock, + (young) calf, X came up in, child, colt, X common, X corn, daughter, X of first, + firstborn, foal, + very fruitful, + postage, X in, + kid, + lamb, (+) man, meet, + mighty, + nephew, old, (+) people, + rebel, + robber, X servant born, X soldier, son, + spark, + steward, + stranger, X surely, them of, + tumultuous one, + valiant[-est], whelp, worthy, young (one), youth.

This definition covers a whole slew of applications, none of which pin this reference to "angels". When looking at Genesis the false teachers try to tell us that the union between angels and women led to offspring of "giant" proportions. I know, I know, it goes from the ridiculous to out right stupidity, but, let's not judge, but let the word say what it says and judge this matter for us. In fact, the one individual, in modern history, responsible for this teachings spread through the Christian community is Dr Scofield of the famed "Scofield Reference Bible". The following is a quote from his reference work applied to the KJV:

Taken from the 1967 edition of the Scofield Reference Bible, note 1 relating to Genesis 6:4: "Some hold that the "sons of God" were fallen angels "who kept not their first estate" (Jude 6-7, cp. "even as Sodom and Gomorrah"; 2 Pet. 2:4-9. Accordingly, this intrusion into the human sphere produced a race of wicked giants (Gen. 6:4-6).

While the Scofield note goes on to mention the objection of some to this interpretation it is none the less never rejected and has led many, over the years, to accept this line of thinking. Some have seen the error of this, but for some strange reason refuse to give up on the idea that "angels' slept with flesh and blood women producing giant offspring. What they propose is that the angels possess the flesh and blood males and vicariously had relations with women through their host males and some how changed the host sperm so that the children spawned by this activity were of giant stature. Ludicrous, I know but this is the very reason you need to get into the Word of God on your own as much as possible. Speculation and opinion is one thing, but we should all give way to the truth presented to us in the Word, the written word and not some ramblings of

someone claiming our Father is talking to them. Beware of those saying, I have dreamed a dream, or, the Lord told me, or spoke to me. There are those in the habit of writing their own scripture contrary to the Word delivered to us by the blood of YaHshua and of the saints. Beware of those writing books about their adventures into Heaven or Hell, these are demented people with lying spirits.

Harper's Bible Dictionary pulls no punches and state right out, "*the sons of God*" in Genesis 6 are *spiritual, supernatural beings*. Seeing is believing, as the saying goes:

Page 981 – second paragraph – “In the first group is the enigmatic passage in Gen. 6:1-4, which gives an account of how the union of “the sons of God” with “the daughters of men” produced a race of “mighty men that were of old.” Here the expression clearly refers to divine beings, and male divine beings at that, given their union with human women.”

And you thought I was making this stuff up. Harper's Bible Dictionary, a recognized leader in biblical studies, making a statement under the authority of their Bible Dictionary that is totally not true, and they are not the only one. From what has already been discussed I hope you can clearly see through this deceptive teaching and understand why it is important to have a clear understanding on this. Harper's Bible Dictionary and other works and teachers supporting this false view are in essence saying the Messiah is in error, or (Father forgive me) a liar.

Matthew 22:30 For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven. KJV (Mark 12:25)

Galatians 3:28 There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus.

If you accept the false teaching leaving room, being a tolerant person, for the opposing view these “sons of God” were really angels having sex with human women then you are degrading the Birth of the Messiah and His sacrifice for us. Do you see it? Satan has had many years to cloud clear waters with murky half lies. He has helped misguide mankind into religions touching on the “mother and child” concept.

The birth of the Christ is devalued if angels, any angels can have sex. Not just sex only, but to MARRY flesh and blood women -- taking wives?. The greatest event in the history of mankind is made meaningless if this is something that can happen and something that was happening long before the promise of our Savior. That fact alone should tell you that this teaching is approaching blaspheme, and even, possibly, approaches the “unforgivable sin”. If fallen

angels, including Satan himself, can have sex, cohabit, with flesh and blood women then the miraculous way by which our Savior came into being, into flesh, is not so miraculous after all it has been going on for centuries and movies have been made too, so it must be true -- let's get real, please.

Not all Christians hold this view but it is continuing to gain popularity among the Evangelicals as more and more of the Evangelical preachers and teachers present this view to their students. And it is not only the Christians that have this view. Certainly the comparisons can be found in the Greek mythologies as well as the religions of Middle East. And we can see this within the Jewish Bible and translation notes in the Hebrew Study Bible. In fact, they, the Jewish story tellers, may be the origin of this false teaching in the first place. I will give you a quote from a Jewish Bible -- TANAKH, The Holy Scriptures, "The New JPS Translation According to the Traditional Hebrew Text":

Genesis 6:1 When men began to increase on the earth and daughters were born to them, the divine beings saw how beautiful the daughter of men were and took wives from among those that pleased them.

As we have seen from earlier evidence the interpretation given to the "sons of God" is one of choice not fact. The Jewish scholars *choose* to render "sons of God" as "divine beings". They, it must be admitted, do not have the authority of the New Testament to draw upon as they do not accept it as part of their inspired Scripture, or writings. The Christians on the other hand do have the New Testament and I believe I have demonstrated adequately from the quoting of New Testament verses the truth of the matter. It is a mistake to think that because we recognize the Jews as Israel, as the people of YHWH, that they have the truth in Scripture. They do not and it would be a mistake, a big mistake, to think so.

Read the Old Testament and you will soon see there were only a few men and women that understood anything about the writings, outside of the civil codes of the first five book of Moses. The Scriptures they hold dear witness against them and their fathers before them. Following these Writings and the Prophets we know as the Old Testament (Hebrew Scriptures) we have the New Testament with the Words of our Savior and His battle of words with the religious leaders of that day, the Pharisee, the Scribes and the Sadducee, as He demonstrated they had lost their way in understanding. No, you cannot look to the Jewish Scholars for support they are as much in the dark, maybe more so, than the general Christian population.

Giants In Those Days

To finish up I am going to go through the first few verses of Genesis 6 verse by verse and make real sense of it. You will see that it says what it says, and

once you understand that the use of the term, “sons of God” can only mean other human individuals with a title as “sons of God” then the rest falls right into place.

(Genesis 6:1) And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them,

(Genesis 6:2) That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose.

True “angels of God” would not attempt to take flesh and blood women as wives. Demons might, if that were possible, but we see from scripture that is not possible. Each flesh, among animals of Earth has been created to reproduce itself, not something else. This concept of creation is carried out throughout the Universe. Angels and devils are spirit beings and not flesh and cannot become flesh, in appearance maybe but not as flesh and cannot therefore mingle seed with flesh. Well, let’s read it right from your own Bible --

(1Co 15:38-40) But God gives it a body as he has determined, and to each kind of seed he gives its own body. All flesh is not the same: Men have one kind of flesh, animals have another, birds another and fish another. There are also heavenly bodies and there are earthly bodies; but the splendor of the heavenly bodies is one kind, and the splendor of the earthly bodies is another.

(Genesis 6:3) And the LORD (YHWH) said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also is flesh: yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years.

“Strive” can also mean “live”. We are told that in the end-days our Father is going to pour out His Spirit upon all mankind. If we accept what the Messiah said about the end-days becoming as the days were in Noah’s time then this verse may mean something. The Spirit of the Creator was with men but, as we see, they did not respond to this gift and guidance and became ever more violent, eventually the Spirit withdrew and human kind were exterminated, all save Noah and His family.

(Genesis 6:4) There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown.

Nowhere does this verse it say "giants" were the product of a union between the "sons of God" and daughters of men. "*There were giants in the earth in those days.*" What days? "*Those days*", the days these events were happening there were giants present -- not one word about these giants being progeny of such an illicit union.

Another thing to notice, it does not necessarily mean "giant men", perhaps giant animals roaming the earth. This, of course, does not fit in with the false science of evolution.

Next, in an attempt at covering all the bases it is explained, the "children" of these unions became "Mighty men", "men of renown", in positions of power – giants upon the earth. These offspring became famous, in other words, these men of "renown" were operating during a time when there were giants of some sort present -- "*in those days*", or they were the giants themselves. The teachers of this type of angel worship are divided on this issue of "giants" being physical monsters or merely giants in fame.

It takes a twisted mind to make these "giants" out as offspring due to the union of fallen angels and fleshly women. Twisted minds twist scripture and to what purpose? This elevates the fallen, devil and his fallen angels to a status unavailable to them.

This false teaching downgrades the accomplishment of our Savior and of the plan of Salvation put in motion by our Heavenly Father. You only have to consult the myths of the ancients to find the idea of gods having sex with flesh and blood women giving birth to bastard half-breeds, half god, half man.

Men enjoy calling themselves "sons of god" or even "children of God", except for when it comes to Genesis 6, then these false teachers say they are angels -- absurd.

Overkill?

If you have a better take on this let me know. I will stand corrected and admit it if you can twist this verse in Genesis 6 to mean anything other than what I have presented here. Some pretty big names in Christendom will stand before you and tell you, "Angels left Heaven and took wives and had giant children by them". They are seriously out of their minds, physically as well as spiritually. Am I judging them? Not by my words, but by the Words of my Heavenly Father, by the Words of the Bible -- not my judgments but His. Too many want to put words in His mouth and are not afraid to do so.

There are Jewish commentaries that make the same assertion concerning fallen angels -- they should be ashamed of themselves for what they have done to the word of God. Never try to make the Word of God say anything other than what it says -- this is called keeping it in "context". I have some pointers on studying the Bible, nothing hard, just some simple suggestions -- [click here](#)

What About Jude?

But, you ask, didn't the fallen angels leave their first estate? Jude 1:6 says they did, doesn't it? Well, yes, and no. The teachers of this view love to quote this verse attempting to prove the fallen angels, demons, devils had left *themselves* (their spirit bodies). Let's take a quick look and I'm sure you will see the same kind of faulty reasoning as with the false giants teaching, telling us these were children of these angels, you know, the angels that "*kept not their first estate*". Let's read:

(Jude 1:6) And the angels which kept not their first estate, but left their own habitation, he hath reserved in everlasting chains under darkness unto the judgment of the great day.

Okay, where does it say, the angels left their spirit bodies to cohabit with flesh and blood women? Right, it doesn't, it says these angels "*kept not their first (get that - first) estate*" -- they departed from their first habitation, or place assigned to them. What this is saying is they left a place they were living, a place given them and for some reason, probably having to do with Satan's rebellion, they abandoned their appointed place, their habitation and assaulted their Creator's domain.

We live on a beautiful planet all of our needs taken care of, but we dream of building space ships to blast off into places we have no business being. We are instructed to care for the World and to be fruitful, but what do we, humans, dream of? We dream of the day we can travel the universe and for what?

It is pretty simple if you believe the words and quite trying to twist the plain truth into something mysterious, even weird, but this does seem to excite the ears of some. These rebel angels are to suffer a bitter end bound in chains of darkness. Who can know how beautiful their "first estate" had been, their original habitation, their estate?

Dreamers of the flesh, like the rebel angels, carry their banner of deceit and falsehood pulling along as many as possible toward the fires of destruction, headed for complete annihilation. Read the whole of what is written in Jude and believe it, do not try to build myths out of something so simple to understand. Stop being confused on this. (Gal 5:10, 1 Cor 14:33).

Jude is all about the *judgment* of those "dreamers" making up stories like the *writers of fiction* and they do this for gain. A greedy bunch more interested in deception, working for a dollar and giving themselves over to a future punishment not unlike that suffered by those of Sodom and Gomorrah. (Titus 1:11, Mt 23:25, 2 Pet 2:3,14)

(2Pe 2:3) In their greed these teachers will exploit you with stories they have made up. Their condemnation has long been hanging over them, and their destruction has not been sleeping.

They commit the sin of Balaam, working for money, as greed drives them, which is described as a form of idolatry. Balaam was a priest, and a priest of YHWH no less. He had the ear of YHWH, spoke with Him, communicated with Him, but still his heart was set on personal gain, not lowly service (Numbers 22). These men, like Balaam, are put in the same boat as the "fallen angels" and their judgment is sure.

Keep in mind, the account given in Jude is about fallen men, by comparison, to fallen angels. So, if we are to jump to the wrong conclusion, that the fallen angels left themselves to cohabit with flesh and blood women, are we then, in the context of the whole of Jude, to assume these greedy men become spirit as the rebel angels became flesh? Absolutely not, there is no such suggestion, and I say this only to demonstrate the idiotic suggestion that spirit beings, fallen or otherwise, do not marry, and do not cohabit with human women. Please, read all of Jude, it's short, but think on it then reread it. It has a lot to say and much is missed when one jumps to the false conclusion concerning the fallen angels that Jude mentions as an example to emphasize the seriousness of our own destiny, and especially of those having made profit of God's people.